

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,550	02/02/2001	Toshiaki Nakano	P107156-00051	8044
75	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.,			CHEN, CHONGSHAN	
SUITE 600	TICOT AVENUE, N.W.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	C 20036		2172	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			19			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Advisory Action	09/773,550	NAKANO ET AL.				
, marieory rioden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chongshan Chen	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 2, 5 and 7-10.			•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:						
,						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As per applicant's arguments regarding Takaya and Cupps fail to teach and/or suggest a retrieval database storing service providing areas of registered shops have been considered but are not persuasive. Takaya teaches a database storing information about registered shops, and what types of the registered shops are, like Café, Department Store, Post Office, etc. (Takaya, Fig. 2, Store Information - Type of industry, Map file, Fig. 9, S14, List of types of business at input screen, Fig. 13, Café, Department Store, ...). Clearly, Takaya teaches a database storing service providing area of registered shops.

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100